

# CRS Issue Brief for Congress

Received through the CRS Web

## **Jordan: U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues**

**Updated March 22, 2005**

Alfred B. Prados  
Foreign Affairs, Defense, and Trade Division

# CONTENTS

## SUMMARY

## MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

## BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

### Jordanian Issues of U.S. Interest

- Stability of the Regime and Succession

- Course of Domestic Reforms

  - Political

  - Economic

- Reaction to Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001

  - Murder of a U.S. Diplomat

  - Plot

- Jordan's Role in the Peace Negotiations

  - Peace Agreements and Normalization

  - Opposition to Normalization

  - Further Arab-Israeli Negotiations

  - The West Bank and East Jerusalem

  - Hamas and Rejectionist Groups

- Course of Jordanian-Iraqi Relations

  - Iraq: Jordanian Policy Toward the War

  - Aftermath of the War and Elections

  - Iraq Training and Troop Support

  - Terrorist Acts: Jordanian Embassy Bombing, 2003

  - Terrorist Acts: Suicide Bombing, 2005

  - Sources of Oil Supply

- Other Regional Relations

  - Relations with Iran

  - Syria and the Lebanon Crisis

### U.S. Aid and Trade Issues

- Aid and Funding Levels

  - Previous and Recent Aid

  - FY2003 Assistance

  - FY2004 Assistance

  - FY2005 Assistance and FY2006 Request

  - Debt Relief

- Trade Issues

  - Free Trade Agreement

  - Qualifying Industrial Zones

- Greater Middle East Initiative

- Armed Forces Modernization

  - Military Equipment

  - Military Cooperation

### Alternatives and Implications

## Jordan: U.S. Relations and Bilateral Issues

### SUMMARY

The death of King Hussein on February 7, 1999, removed a strong U.S. ally and force for stability; however, his son and successor, King Abdullah, has continued to follow the late King's moderate and pro-western policies. In recent years, Jordan has taken significant steps toward building democratic life, including a return to limited parliamentary democracy. Parliament has eased restrictions in laws affecting the press, but some remain.

Several issues in U.S.-Jordanian relations are likely to figure in decisions by Congress and the Administration on future aid to and cooperation with Jordan. These include the stability of the Jordanian regime, democratic reform under way in Jordan, the role of Jordan in the Arab-Israeli peace process, Jordan's concerns over the U.S.-led campaign against Iraq in 2003, and its relations with other regional states. King Abdullah expressed Jordan's "absolute condemnation" of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks on the U.S. and was the first Arab head of state to visit President Bush after the attacks. Jordan sent military medical and mine clearing units to Afghanistan in December 2001 to support the U.S.-led campaign against terrorism, and a field hospital to Iraq in April 2003 during Operation Iraqi Freedom. Jordan is also helping train Iraqi police and military personnel for the reconstituted Iraqi security forces.

On October 24, 2000, the United States and Jordan signed a free trade agreement. On September 28, 2001, President Bush signed a bill to implement the agreement (H.R. 2603) as P.L. 107-43.

In each of the five fiscal years 1998 through 2002, Jordan received approximately

\$225 million in annual U.S. assistance. Jordan received \$450 million in FY2003, plus over a billion dollars in a supplemental to help Jordan deal with added expenses resulting from the war on terrorism and the war in Iraq.

The Administration requested \$250 million in economic assistance and \$206 million in military assistance for Jordan in FY2004. These amounts were included in the Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY2004, which was signed by the President as P.L. 108-199 on January 23, 2004. Also, on November 6, 2003, the President signed H.R. 3289, the Emergency Supplemental Appropriation for Defense and the Reconstruction of Iraq and Afghanistan, 2004, as P.L. 108-106. This act contains an additional \$100 million for Jordan in economic assistance.

The Administration requested \$250 million in economic and \$206 million in military aid for Jordan in FY2006. In addition, the Administration requested \$200 million for Jordan (\$100 million in economic and \$100 million in military aid) in an FY2005 emergency supplemental appropriations bill. The bill, H.R. 1268, was passed by the House on March 16, 2005.

Several alternative scenarios could develop in Jordan: a continuation of the current course toward democracy under the present regime; a return to a more autocratic political system; or fundamental changes in the character or configuration of the Jordanian state. Steady democratic growth under the present regime would probably offer the best prospects of supporting U.S. interests.

## MOST RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

At a meeting hosted by Jordan on January 9, 2005, foreign ministers from Iraq and six neighboring states adopted a communique which, among other things, called on attendees “to support the steps taken by the Interim Government of Iraq to hold general elections in January 2005, leading to a Transitional National Assembly ...” On January 31, King Abdullah described the Iraqi elections as “a positive thing ... that will set a good tone for the Middle East” and added that “I am optimistic.”

The Administration has requested \$250 million in Economic Support Funds (ESF) and \$206 million in Foreign Military Financing (FMF) for Jordan in FY2006. In addition, it requested \$100 million in ESF and \$100 million in FMF for Jordan as part of an emergency supplemental appropriations bill for FY2005. The bill, H.R. 1268, was passed by the House by 388 to 43, Roll no. 77, on March 16, 2005.

## BACKGROUND AND ANALYSIS

Although the United States and Jordan have never been linked by a formal treaty, they have cooperated on a number of regional and international issues over the years. The country’s small size and lack of major economic resources have made it dependent on aid from Western and friendly Arab sources. U.S. support, in particular, has helped Jordan deal with serious vulnerabilities, both internal and external. Jordan’s geographic position, wedged between Israel, Syria, Iraq, and Saudi Arabia, has made it vulnerable to the strategic designs of its more powerful neighbors, but has also given Jordan an important role as a buffer between these potential adversaries. In 1990, Jordan’s unwillingness to join the allied coalition against Iraq disrupted its relations with the United States and the Persian Gulf states; however, relations improved throughout the 1990s as Jordan played an increasing role in the Arab-Israeli peace process and distanced itself from Iraq.

### **Jordanian Issues of U.S. Interest**

#### **Stability of the Regime and Succession**

Jordan, created by colonial powers after World War I, initially consisted of desert or semi-desert territory east of the Jordan River, inhabited largely by people of bedouin tribal background. The establishment of the state of Israel brought large numbers of Palestinian refugees to Jordan, which subsequently annexed a small Palestinian enclave west of the Jordan River (the “West Bank” territory; see below). The original “East Bank” Jordanians, through probably no longer a majority in Jordan, remain predominant in the country’s political and military establishments and form the bedrock of support for the Jordanian monarchy. Palestinians, who comprise an estimated 55% to 70% of the population, in many cases tend to regard their stay in Jordan as temporary, and some are at most lukewarm in their support for the Jordanian regime.

### **Jordan in Brief**

|                                |   |
|--------------------------------|---|
| <b>Population (July 2004):</b> | 5,611,202; growth rate: 2.67%   |
| <b>Area:</b>                   | 89,213 sq. km. (34,445 sq. mi., slightly smaller than Indiana)            |
| <b>Ethnic Groups:</b>          | Arabs 98%; Circassians 1%; Armenians 1%                                   |
| <b>Religion:</b>               | Sunni Muslim 92%; Christian 6%; small Muslim sects 2%                     |
| <b>Literacy (2003):</b>        | 91% (male 96%, female 86%)  |
| <b>GDP (2003):</b>             | \$10.1 billion; real growth 3.2%  |
| <b>Inflation (2003):</b>       | 2.4%  |
| <b>Unemployment (2002):</b>    | 14.3% (official estimate); ca. 25% according to some unofficial estimates |
| <b>Armed Forces (2003):</b>    | personnel 100,500; tanks 1,018; combat aircraft 101                       |
| <b>External Debt (2003):</b>   | \$7.8 billion   |

**Sources:** U.S. Dept. of State; Central Bank of Jordan; other U.S. and Jordanian government departments; The Economist Intelligence Unit (London)

King Abdullah has generally followed the moderate and pro-Western policies of his father, the late King Hussein, who had governed Jordan for 47 years before his death in early 1999. Educated largely in Britain and the United States, King Abdullah pursued a military career, ultimately serving as commander of Jordan's Special Operations Forces with the rank of Major General before acceding to the throne. Despite the gap left by the death of King Hussein, most observers agree that King Abdullah has been successful in consolidating his rule and has won respect for his hands-on style of governing. At the same time, he faces challenges from various internal groups — particularly Palestinians, Islamic fundamentalists, and professional organizations — that generally oppose government policies of normalizing relations with Israel and cooperating with the U.S. authorities in Iraq.

Upon acceding to the throne in 1999, King Abdullah appointed as Crown Prince his half brother Prince Hamzah, who is the son of the late King Hussein by his fourth wife, the American-born Queen Nour. On November 28, 2004, King Abdullah divested Hamzah of the title Crown Prince, stating that he took this step to give Hamzah “the freedom to work and undertake any mission of responsibility I entrust you with.” King Abdullah did not name a successor Crown Prince, but under Article 28 of the Jordanian constitution, Abdullah's son (also named Hussein) becomes heir to the throne unless the King designates another male relative. Jordanian officials deny that any political considerations or family differences prompted this action; some analysts view it as a further step in King Abdullah's consolidation of power.

## **Course of Domestic Reforms**

**Political.** Jordan is a constitutional monarchy with a bicameral legislature composed of an elected 110-member lower house and an appointed 55-member upper house. Building on his father's legacy, King Abdullah has supported a limited parliamentary democracy, while periodically curtailing dissent when it threatened economic reforms or normalization of relations with Israel. The most recent parliamentary elections, held on June 17, 2003, gave 62 seats in the 110-member lower house to conservative, independent, and tribal allies of King Abdullah. However, the moderately fundamentalist Islamic Action Front (IAF), which had boycotted the previous elections in 1997, won 22% of the vote, thereby gaining 18 seats in the lower house, plus six sympathizers. Six seats in the lower house were

reserved for women, one of whom is a member of the IAF. The IAF also participated in municipal elections for the capital city of Amman, held on July 26-27, 2003, but boycotted elections in other municipalities in protest against what IAF spokesmen called undemocratic electoral procedures. The U.S. State Department, in its most recent annual report on human rights (covering the year 2003), credits the Jordanian government with respecting citizens' rights in some areas but notes some abuses, particularly by police and security forces.<sup>1</sup> Recent changes to press and penal laws have broadened freedom of the press, but some restrictions remain. A *Washington Post* article on September 30, 2004, notes measures by Jordanian authorities to restrain an upsurge in criticism of the government, especially in sermons by Muslim clerics.

**Economic.** Jordan, with few natural resources and a small industrial base, has undertaken various measures in the last few years to improve its economy. Among the long-standing problems Jordan faces are slow economic growth, declining living standards, and high levels of unemployment, nominally around 15% but thought by many analysts to be in the 25%-30% range. After sluggish economic growth during much of the 1990s, Jordan's gross domestic product (GDP) grew 4.0% in 2000 and 4.2% in 2001 and 5.2% in 2002, but dropped to an estimated 3.2% in 2003, due in part to the effect of the Iraq war on tourism and other sectors of Jordan's economy; the London-based Economist Intelligence Unit forecasts a reversal of the downward trend in 2004, with GDP growth exceeding 5%. Jordan's external debt was \$7.6 billion in 2003, representing about 77% of GDP. Since April 2000, when Jordan joined the World Trade Organization, the country has carried out various legislative and regulatory reforms: reducing customs fees, enacting laws to protect intellectual property, removing barriers to foreign investment, emphasizing privatization, increasing prices on staple goods such as grain and fuels, and imposing a 2% sales tax on food and other products to generate more income.

## Reaction to Terrorist Attacks of September 11, 2001

Jordan has taken various steps to support the U.S. campaign against terrorism. In December 2001, Jordan sent approximately 200 military medical personnel to Afghanistan to set up a 50-bed field hospital in the northern city of Mazar-i-Sharif. According to a U.S. Defense Department fact sheet, as of June 6, 2002, the hospital had seen 68,811 patients, almost all of them civilian, and performed 798 surgeries. (According to King Abdullah, the hospital was still in Mazar-i-Sharif as of February 2004.) A Jordanian Army mine-clearing unit, also deployed to Afghanistan during December, helped clear 70,000 square meters of territory including a key air base of anti-tank and anti-personnel mines. Within Jordan, Jordanian authorities have continued to arrest and prosecute individuals linked to exiled extremist Saudi exile Osama bin Laden and his Al-Qaeda organization (see below). On October 9, 2001, after the terrorist attacks on the United States, the Jordanian government issued an amendment to terrorism laws banning any banking operations "linked to terrorism activities," along with banning border infiltration and attacks on industry, shipping, telecommunications, and computer systems.

---

<sup>1</sup> A combination of traditionalists and Islamists in parliament have blocked some women's rights measures supported by the palace, notably, a proposal to increase punishment for "honor killings" committed by male relatives of women accused of tainting their family's honor through their sexual behavior.

**Murder of a U.S. Diplomat.** On October 28, 2002, Lawrence Foley, a U.S. diplomat assigned to the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) program in Jordan, was shot and killed by an unknown assailant as Foley was leaving for work, marking the first lethal attack on a U.S. official in Jordan in more than 30 years. After extensive investigations by Jordanian authorities, press reports on May 12, 2003, indicated that a Jordanian military prosecutor charged 11 men with “plotting to carry out terrorist activities leading to the death of an individual” [presumably referring to Mr. Foley]. The charge did not specifically mention Al Qaeda. Five of the accused pleaded innocent in court proceedings on July 8, 2003; the other six are being tried in absentia. According to recent press reports (*New York Times*, April 6 and 7, 2004) a Jordanian military court convicted and sentenced to death eight Islamic militants linked to Al Qaeda and presumably involved in the Foley murder; the court sentenced two others to jail terms and acquitted one defendant. Six of the eight sentenced to death were tried *in absentia*, including a Jordanian exile Ahmad Khulaylah, better known as Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, whose name has been associated with terrorist activity in Iraq.

**Plot.** Zarqawi has also been linked to a reported plot by a terrorist cell to launch a chemical attack in the Jordanian capital of Amman, with large-scale casualties. According to press reports, in January 2004, one of the would-be perpetrators visited Iraq, where he obtained \$170,000, which Zarqawi had collected from Syrian donors to pay for the attack. The plot was reportedly foiled by Jordanian police and elite special forces units in a series of operations mounted in late April 2004. In an interview published by BBC on September 28, 2004, then Jordanian Foreign Minister Marwan Muasher said Jordan has been in the forefront since the early 1990s in fighting organizations like Zarqawi’s group and Al Qaeda.

## Jordan’s Role in the Peace Negotiations

**Peace Agreements and Normalization.** Jordan and Israel signed a peace treaty on October 26, 1994. Subsequently, the two countries exchanged ambassadors; Israel returned approximately 131 square miles of territory near the Rift Valley to Jordan; the Jordanian Parliament repealed laws banning contacts with Israel; and the two countries signed a number of bilateral agreements between 1994 and 1996 to normalize economic and cultural links. Water sharing, a recurring problem, was partially resolved in May 1997 when the two countries reached an interim arrangement under which Israel began pumping 72,000 cubic meters of water from Lake Tiberias (the Sea of Galilee) to Jordan per day (equivalent to 26.3 million cubic meters per year — a little over half the target amount envisioned in an annex to the peace treaty).

**Opposition to Normalization.** King Abdullah’s efforts to normalize relations with Israel have faced significant resistance within Jordan, particularly among Islamic fundamentalist groups, parts of the Palestinian community, and influential trade and professional organizations representing some 80,000-100,000 engineers, doctors, lawyers, journalists, and writers. Among many mainstream Jordanians, there is some disappointment that peace with Israel has not brought more tangible economic benefits to them so far. Opponents of normalization have repeatedly called on Jordanians to boycott contacts with Israel, and activists among them have compiled two “black lists” of Jordanian individuals and companies that deal with Israel. The Jordanian government has circulated a draft law, which alters the electoral procedures for the professional associations in a way that would dilute the influence of Islamist candidates and prohibit ties to association branches in the

Palestinian territories.<sup>2</sup> The law is currently being debated in Jordan's Lower House of parliament. Analysts note that governmental action against the professional associations is indicative of the limits of political reform in Jordan, as the government seeks to limit opposition to its moderate pro-peace policies in the region.

**Further Arab-Israeli Negotiations.** Jordan, like Egypt, has resisted pressures from some Arab states to sever relations with Israel since the outbreak of Israeli-Palestinian clashes in September 2000, but the Jordanian government deferred sending a new ambassador to Israel for four years, because of what Jordan regarded as an excessive Israeli response to the Palestinian uprising. At an Arab summit conference on March 27-28, 2002, Jordan backed a peace initiative by Crown Prince Abdullah of Saudi Arabia calling, among other things, for Israeli withdrawal from territories occupied since 1967, a "just solution to the Palestinian refugees," and establishment of normal relations between Arab states and Israel. Subsequently, Jordan expressed support for the Road Map, a three-phase plan released by the so-called Quartet (the United States, the European Union, Russia, and the United Nations) on April 30, 2003, in an effort to jump-start the peace process. On June 4, 2003, King Abdullah hosted a summit conference at Aqaba attended by President Bush and the Israeli and Palestinian Prime Ministers, where attendees discussed steps to implement the Road Map. Despite the subsequent breakdown of Israeli-Palestinian talks, King Abdullah continued to press for resumption of negotiations.

Jordanian officials initially criticized the U.S. endorsement on April 15, 2004, of a unilateral Israeli plan to withdraw from Gaza and some West Bank settlements while retaining other West Bank settlements. Later, however, during comments to the press after a meeting with King Abdullah on May 6, President Bush said he assured the King that "all final status issues must be negotiated between the parties" in accordance with relevant U.N. Security Council resolutions and that "the United States will not prejudice the outcome of those negotiations." He went on to say that "[t]he road map is the best path to realizing the two-state solution." According to accompanying press reports, President Bush sent King Abdullah a private letter that contained the preceding assurances.<sup>3</sup> King Abdullah expressed appreciation for the President's comments and added that "Jordan also believes all final status issues, including borders, refugees, Jerusalem and settlements, should be a matter for the parties to decide." Asked about Sharon's withdrawal plan in an interview published in *Newsweek* on May 24, 2004, King Abdullah said "[a]s long as it is part of an identified process, which we believe is the Roadmap, anything that assists in moving forward is positive."

At a conference on February 8, 2005 hosted by Egyptian President Mubarak and attended by the leaders of Israel, Jordan, and the Palestinian Authority, Mubarak and King Abdullah issued a joint statement that hailed the spirit of cooperation shown at the conference by Israeli and Palestinian leaders and expressed hopes for further progress on the Israeli-Syrian and Israeli-Lebanese peace tracks as well. On February 20, Jordan sent a new ambassador to Israel, former Jordanian envoy to Turkey Marouk al-Bakhit, in a further

---

<sup>2</sup> "Restrictive Jordanian Bill on Professional Associations," *Arab Reform Bulletin*, March 2005, Volume 3, Issue 2.

<sup>3</sup> Steven R. Weisman, "Bush and King of Jordan Ease Tensions Between Them," *New York Times*, May 7, 2004.



gesture of normalization (see above). In preparation for an Arab summit conference on March 22, 2005, Jordan reportedly sought to re-craft the Arab peace initiative offered at Beirut in 2002 in ways designed to make it more palatable to Israel, but other Arab countries rejected the proposed revisions (which were not disclosed).

**The West Bank and East Jerusalem.** The Jordanian-Israeli peace treaty does not address the status of the West Bank territory, which was annexed by Jordan in 1950 but occupied by Israel in 1967, nor does it address the status of East Jerusalem (except as noted below); both issues are subjects of Israeli-Palestinian rather than Israeli-Jordanian negotiations. The late King Hussein decided in August 1988 “to disengage our legal and administrative relations with the West Bank,” but he and King Abdullah remained involved in Palestinian issues. During his comments to the press on May 6, 2004, King Abdullah told President Bush that “Jordan is ready to do its part in assisting the Palestinian Authority to rebuild its capability and assume full control of the security situation.” In an interview with *Al-Arabiyya* TV on August 3, 2004, King Abdullah added that “[i]n all circumstances, we are ready to provide all the support and assistance to our Palestinian brethren, if they were to ask us for it.” Earlier, an *Associated Press* wire story of June 6 quoted Jordan’s foreign minister as saying that Jordan would consider training Palestinian police to maintain security in parts of the West Bank and Gaza if Israel continued efforts to implement the road map

On a related issue, Palestinian leaders have taken exception to Article 9 of the 1994 Israeli-Jordanian treaty, which states that Israel “respects the historical role of the Hashemite Kingdom [of Jordan] in the mosques of Jerusalem” and “will give high priority to the Jordanian historic role in these shrines.” The late Palestinian leader Arafat asserted that “sovereignty over Jerusalem and supervision of Jerusalem is for Palestinians.” In a speech to a Washington, DC audience on May 13, 2002, King Abdullah said that under a peace deal that he envisions, “[t]he Jerusalem question would be answered, by providing for a shared city open to all faiths.”

**Hamas and Rejectionist Groups.** According to the U.S. State Department’s most recent annual report on patterns of global terrorism (April 2004), the Jordanian government has remained vigilant in opposing terrorism. On August 30, 1999, Jordanian security forces closed offices used by the fundamentalist Palestinian organization Hamas, which the late King Hussein had tolerated to some degree, on the grounds that the offices were registered as businesses but were conducting illegal political activity. In November 1999, authorities announced that the Hamas offices would be closed permanently. However, King Abdullah condemned the Israeli missile strike that killed Hamas spiritual leader Ahmad Yasin in Gaza on March 22, 2004, commenting that “[t]his crime will lead to more escalation, violence and instability.”

## Course of Jordanian-Iraqi Relations

**Iraq: Jordanian Policy Toward the War.** Sympathy for Iraq during the Saddam Hussein regime was strong among many Jordanians, especially Palestinians, Islamists, and others who had tribal or commercial ties with Iraq. As U.S.-Iraqi tensions mounted in mid-2002, Jordan tried to balance its close U.S. ties with its reluctance on political and economic grounds to support a military campaign against Iraq. Consequently, Jordan publicly opposed

military action but informally provided logistical support to the U.S.-led campaign.<sup>4</sup> On February 24, 2003, then Jordanian Prime Minister Ali Abu Raghieb and U.S. defense officials acknowledged that several hundred U.S. troops were in Jordan to operate newly delivered Patriot anti-missile systems and coordinate search and rescue operations. (“U.S. Troops Deployed in Jordan,” *Boston Globe*, February 25, 2003.) A *Washington Post* article of April 1, 2003, two weeks after the military campaign began, estimated that 3,000 U.S. troops were in Jordan. As with Afghanistan, Jordan dispatched a 55-bed field military hospital that had treated 5,500 patients, mostly Iraqis, by May 1, 2003 (the date President Bush announced the end of major combat operations) and is continuing to provide services in Iraq.

**Aftermath of the War and Elections.** Since mid-2003, Jordan has broadened contacts with the U.S.-sponsored interim government in Iraq, assisted in the reconstruction of Iraq, and conducted training at Jordanian installations for selected units of a reconstituted Iraqi army and police force. Jordan strongly supported the elections held in Iraq on January 30, 2005, for a Transitional National Assembly (TNA), under a timetable adopted early in 2004. On the eve of the elections, King Abdullah urged his fellow Sunni Muslims in Iraq, to participate in the elections at the end of January 2005 for a Transitional National Assembly: “If you don’t [participate] and you lose out, then you only have yourselves to blame.”<sup>5</sup> (Some Iraqi Sunnis, fearful of being inundated at the ballot box by the country’s Shi’ite majority, had called for postponing or boycotting the elections.) On January 31, King Abdullah described the Iraqi elections as “a positive thing ... that will set a good tone for the Middle East and I am optimistic.” Jordanian leaders have been concerned, however, that the electoral process could lead to a wider role for Iran in influencing Iraqi affairs. In December 2004, King Abdullah (a Sunni Muslim) expressed concern that Iran was trying to manipulate Iraqi Shi’ite Muslims in an effort to bring about an Islamic republic similar to the Iranian model in Iraq.<sup>6</sup> In an interview with a Kuwait newspaper on January 9, 2005, King Abdullah said that Jordan’s relations with Iran and with the broader Shi’ite community are good, but warned against external interference in Iraq.

**Iraq Training and Troop Support.** In a September 2003 interview, the King said Jordan would train approximately 30,000 Iraqi police and military personnel in a series of eight-week courses to be conducted in Jordan, pointing out that he did not plan to send Jordanian trainers to Iraq in view of the sensitivities involved. In a subsequent interview with *Defense News* published on February 9, 2004, King Abdullah explained that Iraqi army personnel are being trained by the Jordanian Army, while Iraqi police training is a joint venture with private sector companies. According another *Defense News* article on the same date, more than 35,000 military and police personnel, slightly more than the earlier figure cited by King Abdullah, will undergo training in Jordan. Training of Iraqi police cadets is being conducted at six police training academies, five of which are in Iraq and one of which

---

<sup>4</sup> In an interview with *Reuters* on March 6, 2003, Jordanian Foreign Minister Marwan Muasher said “[w]e’ve made it clear Jordan is not going to participate in a war and will not be a launching pad for war against Iraq.” In an interview with the official Jordan News Agency published on April 3, King Abdullah stated that “[w]e are not part of this war.”

<sup>5</sup> Robin Wright and Peter Baker, “Iraq, Jordan See Threat To Election From Iran,” *The Washington Post*, Dec. 8, 2004.

<sup>6</sup> Various news reports, for example, Robin Wright and Peter Baker, “Iraq, Jordan See Threat To Election From Iran,” *The Washington Post*, Dec. 8, 2004.

is at Muwaqqar, Jordan, east of Amman. This center, known as the Jordan International Police Training Center, has instructors from 15 countries, including Jordan. On January 13, 2005, the 12<sup>th</sup> class of 1,440 cadets completed training at the Jordanian training center, making a total of almost 10,000 Iraqi police officers and cadets to have completed training in Jordan.<sup>7</sup> Also, as of January 2005, approximately 1,650 Iraqi soldiers, including 50 women, had graduated from a training program at the Jordanian Military Academy at Zarqa, 17 miles north of Amman. In his *Al-Arabiyya* TV interview on August 3, 2004, King Abdullah mentioned that Jordan had sent military equipment to the reconstituted Iraq army, including more than 150 armored vehicles.

**Terrorist Acts: Jordanian Embassy Bombing, 2003.** On August 7, 2003, a car bomb exploded outside the Jordanian Embassy in Baghdad, killing as many as 17 persons and wounding many more. Neither U.S. nor Jordanian officials have identified the perpetrators; however, the then chief U.S. administrator in Iraq, L. Paul Bremer, speculated that various terrorist groups that have targeted U.S. military personnel might have been involved, including remnants of the former Baathist regime or the paramilitary force known as “Saddam’s Fedayeen.” Bremer also said U.S. officials are tracking an Islamist group known as Ansar al-Islam, formerly based in Iraq’s northern Kurdish areas with alleged ties to Al Qaeda, in the aftermath of the Jordanian embassy bombing. According to news reports, U.S. special forces and pro-Western Kurdish militia expelled Ansar al-Islam in April 2003, but the organization may have regrouped in Baghdad during the summer. (“Bremer says no conclusion on Iraq embassy bombers,” *Reuters*, August 9, 2003).

**Terrorist Acts: Suicide Bombing, 2005.** On February 28, 2005, a suicide bomber killed an estimated 118 people in the southern Iraqi town of Hilla. Newspapers have identified the perpetrator as Raed Mansour al-Banna, a Jordanian with alleged links to Zarqawi’s group. Reports that Banna’s family and friends in Jordan had celebrated his action fueled anti-Jordan demonstrations in Iraq and protests by Iraqi officials, despite condemnations of the bombing by Jordanian officials. Following mounting tensions, Iraq and Jordan briefly withdrew their top diplomats from each other’s capitals on March 20. King Abdullah sent his charge d’affaires, Dimai Haddad, back to Baghdad on the following day in an effort to ease bilateral tensions; however, Iraq has not yet reciprocated. (Iraq is represented by an ambassador in Amman, while Jordan is represented by a charge d’affaires in Baghdad.)

**Sources of Oil Supply.** During the decade preceding Operation Iraqi Freedom, Jordan imported between 70,000 and 95,000 barrels per day of oil and oil products from Iraq. Jordan bought the oil at discounted prices, and actual payments were made in commodities rather than cash, through shipments of humanitarian goods from Jordan to Iraq. These transactions were outside the U.N.-approved oil-for-food program; however, the United Nations “took note” of Jordan’s position that it had no other source of oil, and U.S. administrations waived legislation that would have penalized Jordan for these transactions on this basis. After Iraqi oil shipments ceased during Operation Iraqi Freedom, Jordan received some oil from Saudi Arabia, Kuwait, and the United Arab Emirates (UAE) on a temporary basis. On October 29, 2003, the Jordanian press announced that Jordan and Iraq

---

<sup>7</sup> “Over 1,400 Iraq Police Recruits Complete Jordan Training,” Dow Jones International News, January 13, 2005.

had reached an agreement under which Iraq would resume shipments to Jordan of 50,000 barrels of oil per day, representing approximately 50% of Jordan's oil needs; however, the current status of this agreement is unclear. According to Jordan's foreign minister, Saudi Arabia made a commitment to supply Jordan with the other 50% of its oil needs (50,000 barrels per day) until March 2004. A Jordanian spokesperson, quoted in the Jordanian press on May 6, 2004, said Saudi Arabia had decided to extend the oil grant to Jordan for one year; also, that talks on oil supply are under way with Kuwait and the UAE for an additional 25,000 barrels per day from each of these two suppliers. Jordan reportedly receives the oil from Saudi Arabia gratis and hopes to secure similar terms from Kuwait and the UAE. (See also Economist Intelligence Units, June 2004, p. 23.)

## Other Regional Relations

**Relations with Iran.** Jordan has had generally poor relations with Iran since the establishment of the Islamic Republic in 1979, due in part to Jordanian allegations that Iran was promoting Islamic opposition in Jordan. There were indications of a warming trend between the two countries during mid-2004, however, at least on the commercial level. During a landmark visit by King Abdullah to Iran on September 3 — the first by a Jordanian ruler in over 20 years — the two sides discussed bilateral trade and security. Also, according to the Iranian news agency, the leaders “welcomed the establishment of the Iraqi Governing Council, and described it as a step towards handing over the power to the Iraqi people.” Asked about Iran's nuclear program during his September 28 interview, then Foreign Minister Muasher reiterated Jordan's view that there should be a nuclear freeze in the Middle East including “Israel, Iran, and the others.” Responding to another question, concerning Iranian activity in Iraq, Muasher said “[w]e have always asked all neighboring countries not to interfere in Iraq's internal affairs....” (As noted above, Jordanian officials have expressed concern about possible Iranian manipulation of the Iraqi political scene.)

**Syria and the Lebanon Crisis.** Jordan, which has often had tense relations with Syria, has not commented extensively on the political turmoil that followed the assassination of former Lebanese Prime Minister Rafiq Hariri on February 14, 2005, accompanying accusations of Syrian complicity in his murder, and widespread calls for Syria to withdraw its 14,000 troops from Lebanon. In commenting on U.N. Security Council Resolution 1559, which demands the withdrawal of foreign forces from Lebanon, Jordanian Foreign Minister Hani Mulqi called for implementation of all U.N. resolutions. Quoting King Abdullah, Mulqi said Jordan “is against selectivity in implementing U.N. resolutions.” Mulqi went on to say that “[w]e want the U.N. resolution to be implemented in a manner that does not harm Lebanon, the unity of its people and its territorial integrity.” On a different topic, in an earlier interview carried by BBC on September 28, 2004, Jordan's then foreign minister cited Syrian-Jordanian border problems as a bilateral issue that needed to be addressed, particularly with respect to demarcation and prevention of infiltration across the Syrian border into Jordan.

## U.S. Aid and Trade Issues

### Aid and Funding Levels

**Previous and Recent Aid.** The United States has provided economic and military aid, respectively to Jordan since 1951 and 1957. Total U.S. aid to Jordan through 2003 amounted to approximately \$7 billion, including \$4 billion in economic aid and \$3 billion in military aid. Levels of aid have fluctuated, increasing in response to threats faced by Jordan and decreasing during periods of political differences or worldwide curbs on aid funding. The United States has markedly increased its aid to Jordan since the mid-1990s to help Jordan strengthen its economy, maintain domestic stability, and pursue normalization with Israel. Between FY1998 and FY2002, annual U.S. economic and military aid levels to Jordan were approximately \$150 million and \$75 million, respectively. In addition to annual aid funds, at the request of the Clinton Administration, Jordan received \$300 million as part of a special package spread over FY1999 and FY2000 to support the Wye River agreement, a U.S.-sponsored Israeli-Palestinian agreement that the late King Hussein had helped negotiate in 1998. Also, at the request of the Bush Administration, Jordan received an additional \$125 million in a supplemental FY2002 appropriation for U.S. allies supporting the U.S. campaign against terror in the aftermath of the 9/11 attacks. **Table 2** shows U.S. levels of U.S. assistance to Jordan since 1990.

**FY2003 Assistance.** The Bush Administration sought to double U.S. aid to Jordan in FY2003, in view of Jordanian support to the campaign against terrorism. The Administration's budget request contained a total of \$448 million for Jordan in FY2003, including \$250 million in economic assistance and \$198 million in military assistance. A spokesman for the Office of Management and Budget was quoted as saying the increased aid would be used to improve border controls and interdiction of illegal weapons flow, to support financial training, trade, and investment, and to strengthen educational opportunities. These amounts were contained in S. 2779, the Foreign Operations Appropriations bill for FY2003, reported by the Senate Appropriations Committee on July 24, 2002 (S.Rept. 107-219). In the report, the Committee noted "with appreciation Jordan's constructive role in the peace process and efforts to implement economic reforms." A bill reported by the House Appropriations Committee on September 19, 2002, H.R. 5410, did not contain specific amounts; however, in report language (H.Rept. 107-663), the Committee recommended the same levels of aid as those contained in the President's budget request. The Committee also "expressed its continued strong support for and appreciation of Jordan's constructive and critical role in the region." The 107<sup>th</sup> Congress adjourned without floor action on either bill. On February 12, 2003, both houses agreed to the conference report on a new bill, H.J.Res. 2, the Consolidated Appropriations Resolution, 2003, which President Bush signed as P.L. 108-7 on February 20. The conference report (H.Rept. 108-10) contained \$250 million in ESF for Jordan in FY2003. The conference report did not contain a specific earmark in FMF for Jordan in FY2003 but noted that the \$198 million contained in the Senate version of the bill had already been obligated by the Administration for Jordan.

On March 25, 2003, the President sent Congress a request for supplemental funding including, among other things, \$700 million in economic aid and \$406 million in military assistance for Jordan to help offset the effects of the war with Iraq on Jordan's economy and bolster its security. Versions of the Emergency Wartime Supplemental Appropriations Act,

2003, H.R. 1559) passed by the House on April 3 and Senate on April 7, respectively, contained the requested amounts for Jordan, as did the conference report (H.Rept. 108-76), which was passed by voice vote in the House and unanimous consent in the Senate on April 12, 2003. In addition, Section 1310 of the bill provided that up to \$1,400 million may be transferred under the heading Iraqi Freedom Fund to Pakistan, Jordan, and other key cooperating states to reimburse them for certain logistical expenses and military support. The bill was signed into law (P.L. 108-11) on April 16.

**FY2004 Assistance.** In its budget request for FY2004, the Administration requested \$250 million in ESF and \$206 million in FMF for Jordan. These amounts were included in S. 1426, the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriation Bill, 2004, which was reported by the Senate Appropriations Committee on July 17, 2003 (S.Rept. 108-106). The House version, H.R. 2800, reported by the House Appropriations Committee on July 21, 2003 (H.Rept. 108-222) and passed on July 24 by 370-50 (Roll no. 429), contained the requested level of ESF, and it recommended the requested level of FMF in report language. The Senate subsequently passed its own version of H.R. 2800 by voice vote on October 30, 2003. Provisions of H.R. 2800 were incorporated into H.R. 2673, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY2004. The accompanying conference report (H.Rept. 108-401, November 25, 2003) contained the requested levels of ESF and FMF for Jordan. The conference report was agreed to by the House on December 8, 2003, and the Senate on January 22, 2004; the bill was signed into law as P.L. 108-199 on January 23, 2004.

Also, on November 6, 2003, the President signed H.R. 3289, the Emergency Supplemental Appropriation for Defense and the Reconstruction of Iraq and Afghanistan, 2004, as P.L. 108-106. This act contains an additional \$100 million for Jordan in ESF.

**FY2005 Assistance and FY2006 Request.** The Administration requested \$250 million in ESF and \$206 million in FMF for Jordan in FY2005, in addition to small amounts for IMET, de-mining operations, and Peace Corps (see Table 2). H.R. 4818, the Foreign Operations Appropriations bill for FY2005, passed by the House by 365-41 on July 15, 2004 (Roll no. 390), contains \$250 million in ESF for Jordan. Meanwhile, the House Committee on Appropriations had recommended full funding of the Administration's ESF and FMF requests for Jordan in accompanying report language (H.Rept. 108-599, July 13, 2004). A Senate bill, S. 2812, reported on September 16, 2004 (S.Rept. 108-346), provided the amounts requested by the Administration. The Senate bill also stated that of the ESF funds available to Jordan, \$5,000,000, should be made available to a charitable institution, the Rosary Sisters Hospital in Jordan. The Senate then passed its own version of H.R. 4818, after substituting the language in S. 2812, on September 23, 2004, by voice vote. The conference report (H.Rept. 108-792, November 20, 2004) contained the economic and military aid amounts requested by the Administration; it dropped the \$5 million earmark for the Rosary Sisters Hospital because of Jordanian concerns over the impact this would have on the overall assistance program for Jordan. The Foreign Operations Appropriations bill was included as Division D of H.R. 4818, the Consolidated Appropriations Act, FY2005, which was signed by the President as P.L. 108-447 on December 8, 2004.

In addition, the Administration requested \$100 million in ESF and \$100 million in FMF as part of an emergency supplemental appropriation bill for FY2005, in recognition of Jordan's assistance in the war on terrorism and the rebuilding of the Iraqi security forces. These amounts are contained in the report accompanying the supplemental bill (H.Rept. 109-

016, March 11, 2005). The bill, H.R. 1268, was passed by the House by 388 to 43, Roll no. 77, on March 16. With regard to FY2006, the Administration has requested for Jordan the same amounts it requested in FY2005: \$250 million in ESF and \$206 million in FMF.

**Debt Relief.** In 1994, in recognition of Jordan's support for Middle East peacemaking, then President Clinton asked Congress to pass the necessary legislation to forgive Jordan's \$702.3 million debt to the United States (approximately 10% of Jordan's worldwide debt). Congress appropriated a total of \$401 million in subsidies, which under pertinent budgetary procedures were sufficient to forgive the entire \$702.3 million owed to the United States at the time. The \$401 million in subsidies were contained in three appropriations bills enacted between 1994 and 1996: P.L. 103-306, P.L. 104-19, and P.L. 104-208. Not covered under this debt forgiveness package were certain loan guarantees, concessional credits (consisting of food aid), and non-concessional credits (mainly U.S. Defense Department loans) estimated respectively at \$223.8 million, \$84.1 million, and \$171.1 million as of the end of 2001.

## Trade Issues

Jordan ranked 69<sup>th</sup> among U.S. trading partners in volume of trade with the United States in 2004, up slightly from 74<sup>th</sup> in 2003. According to the U.S. International Trade Commission, Jordan's imports from the United States increased from \$317 million to \$531 million between 2000 and 2004, and Jordan's exports to the United States increased even more notably from \$73 million to \$1,093 million during the same period. Principal U.S. commodities imported by Jordan consisted of aircraft parts, machinery and appliances, vehicles, and cereals, while Jordan's main exports to the United States included clothing and accessories, precious stones, and precious metals. Two recent measures, in particular, have helped expand U.S.-Jordanian trade ties and could create more opportunities for U.S. investment in Jordan.

**Free Trade Agreement.** On October 24, 2000, then President Clinton and King Abdullah witnessed the signing of a U.S.-Jordanian Free Trade Agreement, which will eliminate duties and commercial barriers to bilateral trade in goods and services originating in the two countries. Earlier, in a report released on September 26, the U.S. International Trade Commission concluded that a U.S.-Jordan Free Trade Agreement would have no measurable impact on total U.S. imports or exports, U.S. production, or U.S. employment. Under the agreement, the two countries agreed to enforce existing laws concerning worker rights and environmental protection. On January 6, 2001, then President Clinton transmitted to the 107<sup>th</sup> Congress a proposal to implement the Free Trade Agreement. On July 23, U.S. Trade Representative Zoellick and then-Jordanian Ambassador Marwan Muasher exchanged letters pledging that the two sides would "make every effort" to resolve disputes without recourse to sanctions and other formal procedures. These letters were designed to allay concerns on the part of some Republican Members over the possible use of sanctions to enforce labor and environmental provisions of the treaty. President Bush signed H.R. 2603, which implemented the FTA as P.L. 107-43 on September 28, 2001, during King Abdullah's visit to Washington following the September 11, 2001, attacks. For additional information, see CRS Report RL30652, *U.S.-Jordan Free Trade Agreement*.

**Qualifying Industrial Zones.** An outgrowth of the Jordanian-Israeli peace treaty was the establishment of "Qualifying Industrial Zones" (QIZs), under which goods produced with specified levels of Jordanian and Israeli input can enter the United States duty free,

under the provisions of P.L. 104-234. This act amended previous legislation so as to grant the President authority to extend the U.S.-Israel free trade area to cover products from QIZs between Israel and Jordan or between Israel and Egypt. QIZs were designed both to help the Jordanian economy and to serve as a vehicle for expanding commercial ties between Jordan and Israel. Since 1998, the U.S. Trade Representative has designated thirteen industrial parks in Jordan as QIZs, of which three are publicly operated and ten are privately owned. Seven of these QIZs are active; three are not yet active; and two are in the process of becoming active, according to a fact sheet provided by the Jordanian Embassy in Washington on August 21, 2003. A joint Israeli-Jordanian committee including an observer from the United States approves products produced in the QIZs and certifies their eligibility for duty free entry into the United States.<sup>8</sup> According to the Jordanian Ministry of Finance, QIZ exports amounted to \$582 million in the year 2003.<sup>9</sup> Even with the establishment of the QIZs, however, there has been only a modest increase in Jordanian-Israeli trade. According to recent estimates, Israeli annual exports to Jordan and imports from Jordan are running at approximately \$31.7 million and \$34.2 million respectively, while comparable figures a decade ago were \$20.5 million and \$29.9 million respectively.<sup>10</sup> For additional information, see CRS Report RS22002, *Qualifying Industrial Zones in Jordan: A Model for Promoting Peace and Stability in the Middle East*.

## Greater Middle East Initiative

The Bush Administration, together with other industrialized countries, is reportedly considering an initiative to encourage promotion of democracy and good governance, building a knowledge society, and expanding economic opportunities in the “Greater Middle East” (the Arabic speaking countries plus several other nearby Muslim countries). (For more information, see CRS Report RL32260, *U.S. Foreign Assistance to the Middle East: Historical Background, Recent Trends, and the FY2005 Request*, by Jeremy Sharp.) The Arab reaction so far has been cool, partly due to U.S.-Arab differences over several current regional issues. Jordanian Foreign Minister Marwan Muasher told a Washington audience on March 11, 2004, that “[w]e do not differ over the content of this reform” but went on to say that such a program should not be “imposed, or perceived to be imposed, in any way, from the outside.” During his comments to the press after meeting with President Bush on May 6, King Abdullah said Jordan has adopted “a far reaching plan that addresses the key areas of good governance, political freedoms, women’s rights, judicial reform, economic reform, education reform and the liberalization of the economy.”

At an international “Forum for the Future” conference in Morocco on December 11, 2004, attended by representatives of the G8 industrialized democracies and approximately 20 Arab/Islamic countries, participants expressed a commitment to the development of

---

<sup>8</sup> According to the U.S. State Department, the QIZs have created more than 40,000 new jobs in Jordan, mainly for women. U.S. Dept. of State, International Information Programs, “U.S.-Jordan Free Trade Agreement Spurs Jordan’s Economic Growth,” October 16, 2003. According to local Jordanian press reports and international economists, approximately 40% to 50% of QIZ-related jobs are filled by expatriate workers, mainly from southern Asia.

<sup>9</sup> Interview with Mohammed Abu Hammour, Jordan Finance Minister, *Middle East Economic Digest*, July 2-8, 2004, p. 8.

<sup>10</sup> “Jordan’s Peace Dividend,” *Jerusalem Post*, Oct. 26, 2004.



democracy and civil society. Among other things, participants agreed to hold a meeting of education ministers in Jordan and establishing a microfinance training center in Jordan in 2005. Jordan also agreed to host another Forum for the Future conference in 2006.

## Armed Forces Modernization

**Military Equipment.** The United States is helping Jordan modernize its armed forces, which have been the traditional mainstay of the regime. The Jordanian military forces, though well trained and disciplined, are outnumbered and outgunned by each of Jordan's neighboring forces. In 1996, under Section 572, P.L. 104-107 (the FY1996 Foreign Operations Appropriations Act), Congress approved a drawdown of \$100 million, mainly in ground force equipment from U.S. stocks (including 50 M60A3 tanks), to enhance Jordan's ability to maintain border security and implement terms of the peace treaty with Israel. Most of this equipment was delivered in December 1996. In addition, during 1996, the United States agreed to lease 16 refurbished F-16 fighter aircraft to Jordan at a cost of approximately \$220 million (most of which represents the cost of upgrading the aircraft), with title passing to Jordan after five years. Deliveries of the aircraft were completed in early 1998. The aircraft transfer was funded through a combination of foreign military financing (FMF) allocations to Jordan over a four-year period (\$150 million), plus \$70 million in additional FMF funds contained in the FY1996 omnibus continuing appropriations bill (P.L. 104-134).

More recently, with regard to the three Patriot anti-missile batteries delivered to Jordan by the United States in early 2003, Jordan's Prime Minister stated on February 25, 2003, that Jordan requested these weapons from the United States after Russia was unable to deliver S-300 surface-to-air missiles originally requested by Jordan. On November 19, 2004, the U.S. Defense Department notified Congress of a potential sale of 50 U.S. Advanced Medium Range Air-to-Air Missiles (AMRAAMs) and associated equipment to Jordan to enhance the defense capability of Jordan's F-16 fighter aircraft and provide for increased interoperability with U.S. forces.

**Military Cooperation.** A U.S.-Jordanian Joint Military Commission has functioned since 1974. Combined training exercises by U.S. and Jordanian military units continue to take place in Jordan, at least on an annual basis and sometimes more often. These have included fairly large scale training activities involving special forces, air defense, communications, fighter aircraft, and other military units, together with an annual month-long exercise with U.S. Navy and Marine units called "Infinite Moonlight." In early 2002, at U.S. request, Jordan reportedly began a training program to help Yemeni forces in countering terrorism. The above-mentioned courses conducted by Jordan for Iraqi military personnel are reportedly being funded by the United States under a program called the New Iraqi Army Training Project.<sup>11</sup>

Under the provisions of Section 517 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961 as amended, then President Clinton designated Jordan as a major non-NATO ally of the United States, effective on November 13, 1996. According to a State Department spokesman, this status "makes Jordan eligible for priority consideration for transfer of excess defense articles, the use of already appropriated military assistance funds for procurement through commercial

---

<sup>11</sup> Riad Kahwaji, "Forging a New Iraqi Army — in Jordan," *Defense News*, Feb. 9, 2004, p. 8.

leases, the stockpiling of U.S. military material, and the purchase of depleted uranium munitions.”

## Alternatives and Implications

In the aftermath of the peace treaty with Israel and the Gulf wars of 1991 and 2003, several alternative scenarios could develop in Jordan. The first would be continued movement toward democracy under the present regime. There is much evidence that the late King and his successor King Abdullah have favored this course. The political experience since the resumption of parliamentary elections in 1989 have been generally positive, although the 1997 elections were marred by a boycott by much of the opposition; the 2003 elections get mixed reviews. During his reign, King Hussein succeeded in opening the political system to a wide spectrum of Jordanian opinion while restraining extreme steps by the religious right or the nationalist left. Externally, Jordan has survived major diplomatic isolation and economic loss brought on by the Gulf crisis, and conditions have improved on both fronts. The process of normalizing relations with Israel and uncertainties over Iraq will continue to confront King Abdullah with sensitive decisions, in seeking to accommodate opposition groups within the Jordanian political system.

Under a second scenario, Jordan might return to a more restrictive political system. In addition to his commitment to fostering democracy, the late King was long dedicated to preserving the basic integrity and institutional character of the state that his grandfather built. On at least two occasions — when threatened by a radical nationalist coup d’etat in 1957 and by a potential takeover of the country by armed Palestinian guerrillas in 1970 — the late King, backed by the armed forces, moved decisively to reestablish order at the expense of democratic experiments previously under way. It is arguable that the country’s institutions today are stronger, more durable, and more able to absorb political pressures than they were in 1957 or 1970 and that the current situation is far less threatening. On the other hand, the combination of domestic economic hardships, an uncertain peace process opposed by many Jordanians, and a strong and aggressive Islamist movement could create new challenges that King Abdullah might feel compelled to preempt through returning to a more autocratic system of government.

A third scenario would involve a fundamental change in the character of the Jordanian state. This could come about in a number of ways: emergence of a strongly Islamist government that would exclude other groups from participation in national political life; a close alliance with a neighboring patron (such as Syria) that would exert control over Jordanian policies; disappearance of the monarchy; or replacement of the present Jordanian state with a Palestinian entity. These developments, though not likely in the short term, could become more plausible if the country’s governmental, economic, and military institutions should suffer serious erosion. Radical changes in the character or configuration of Jordan would be of concern to U.S. policy makers. Almost any successor to the Jordanian state as it is now constituted would present the United States and its allies with a less stable and more threatening regional environment.

**Table 2. Annual U.S. Aid to Jordan Since the Gulf Crisis**  
(\$ in millions)

| Fiscal Year (FY)  | Economic Assistance |      |       |         | Military Assistance |        | Totals              |
|-------------------|---------------------|------|-------|---------|---------------------|--------|---------------------|
|                   | EconSpt             | Food | Devel | PeaceCp | FMF*                | IMET** |                     |
| 1991              | 35.0 <sup>a</sup>   | 0    | 0     | 0       | 20.0 <sup>a</sup>   | 1.3    | 56.30               |
| 1992              | 30.0 <sup>b</sup>   | 20.0 | 0     | 0       | 20.0 <sup>b</sup>   | .6     | 70.60               |
| 1993 <sup>c</sup> | 5.0                 | 30.0 | 0     | 0       | 9.0                 | .5     | 44.50               |
| 1994 <sup>d</sup> | 9.0                 | 15.0 | 4.0   | 0       | 9.0                 | .8     | 37.80               |
| 1995              | 7.2                 | 15.0 | 6.7   | 0       | 7.3                 | 1.0    | 37.20               |
| 1996              | 7.2                 | 21.0 | 7.9   | 0       | 200.0 <sup>e</sup>  | 1.2    | 237.30              |
| 1997 <sup>f</sup> | 112.2               | 2.6  | 4.5   | 1.1     | 30.0                | 1.7    | 152.10              |
| 1998 <sup>f</sup> | 150.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.2     | 75.0 <sup>g</sup>   | 1.6    | 227.80              |
| 1999              | 150.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.4     | 70.0 <sup>g</sup>   | 1.6    | 223.00              |
| 1999 (Wye)        | 50.0                | 0    | 0     | 0       | 50.0                | 0      | 100.00              |
| 2000              | 150.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.7     | 75.0                | 1.6    | 228.30              |
| 2000 (Wye)        | 50.0                | 0    | 0     | 0       | 150.0               | 0      | 200.00 <sup>h</sup> |
| 2001              | 150.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.7     | 75.0                | 1.7    | 228.40              |
| 2002              | 150.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.6     | 75.0                | 2.0    | 228.60              |
| 2002 (Suppl.)     | 100.0               | 0    | 0     | 0       | 25.0                | 0      | 125.00              |
| 2003              | 250.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.0     | 198.0               | 2.4    | 451.40              |
| 2003 (Suppl.)     | 700.0               | 0    | 0     | 0       | 406.0               | 0      | 1,106.00            |
| 2004              | 250.0               | 0    | 0     | 2.3     | 206.0               | 2.9    | 461.20              |
| 2004 (Suppl.)     | 100.0               | 0    | 0     | 0       | 0                   | 0      | 100.00              |
| 2005              | 250.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.6     | 206.0               | 3.0    | 460.60              |
| 2005 (Suppl.)     | 100.0               | 0    | 0     | 0       | 100.0               | 0      | 200.00              |
| 2006 <sup>i</sup> | 250.0               | 0    | 0     | 1.6     | 206.0               | 3.0    | 460.60              |

**Note:** These figures do not include debt relief subsidy appropriations or small amounts for de-mining assistance. Nor do they include supplemental funding requested by the Clinton Administration in FY2001 (never acted upon by Congress).

\*Foreign Military Financing

\*\*International Military Education and Training Program

\*\*\*To be determined

a. Suspended in April 1991 under P.L. 102-27; released in early 1993.

b. Released in late July 1993.

c. Restrictions on FY1993 funds waived by Presidential Determination (PD) 93-39, Sept. 17, 1993.

d. FY1994 funds released by PD 94-11, Jan. 13, 1994, waiving restrictions under P.L. 103-87.

e. Three components: \$30 million (Administration's original request); \$70 million in additional FMF under FY1996 appropriation (P.L. 104-134) to cover balance of F-16 aircraft package; and \$100 million in special drawdown authority (P.L. 104-107).

f. These figures include \$100 million in economic assistance under the President's Middle East Peace and Stability Fund (\$100 million in FY1997, \$116 million in FY1998).

g. For each of these two years, FMF figure includes \$25 million in drawdown authority.

h. Some of these funds were obligated in later years (FY2001 or FY2002).

i. Administration's request for FY2006.